arXiv:1503.09019v1 [math.PR] 31 Mar 2015

CONSTRUCTION OF MALLIAVIN DIFFERENTIABLE STRONG SOLUTIONS OF SDES UNDER AN INTEGRABILITY CONDITION ON THE DRIFT WITHOUT THE YAMADA-WATANABE PRINCIPLE

DAVID R. BAÑOS, SINDRE DUEDAHL, THILO MEYER-BRANDIS, AND FRANK PROSKE

Abstract. In this paper we aim at employing a compactness criterion of Da Prato, Malliavin, Nualart [2] for square integrable Brownian functionals to construct unique strong solutions of SDE's under an integrability condition on the drift coefficient. The obtained solutions turn out to be Malliavin differentiable and are used to derive a Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula for solutions of the Kolmogorov equation.

Key words and phrases: Strong solutions of SDEs, Malliavin regularity, Kolmogorov equation, Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula, singular drift coefficient.

MSC2010: 60H10, 60H07, 60H40, 60J60.

1. Introduction

The object of study of this paper is the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s^x)ds + B_t, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.1}$$

where $B_\cdot$ is a d-dimensional Brownian motion on some complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ with respect to a μ-completed Brownian filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0\le t\le T}$ and where $b : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Borel-measurable function.

In this article we are interested in the analysis of strong solutions $X_\cdot$ of the SDE (1.1), that is an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0\le t\le T}$-adapted solution processes on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ when the drift coefficient is irregular, e.g. non-Lipschitzian or discontinuous.

A widely used construction method for strong solutions in this case in the literature is based on the so-called Yamada-Watanabe principle. Using this principle, a once constructed weak solution, that is a solution which is not necessarily a functional of the driving noise, combined with pathwise uniqueness gives a unique strong solution. So

$$\boxed{\text{Weak solution}} \quad + \quad \boxed{\text{Pathwise uniqueness}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\text{Unique strong solution}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here, pathwise uniqueness means the following: If $X_\cdot^{(1)}$ and $X_\cdot^{(2)}$ are $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{(1)}\}_{0\le t\le T}$- and respectively $\{\mathcal{F}_t^{(2)}\}_{0\le t\le T}$-adapted weak solutions on a probability space, then these solutions must coincide a.s. See [33]. In the milestone paper from 1974 [34], A.K. Zvonkin used the Yamada-Watanabe principle in the one-dimensional case in connection with PDE techniques to construct a unique strong solution to (1.1), when b is merely bounded and measurable. Subsequently, the latter result was generalised by A.Y. Veretennikov [32] to the multidimensional case.

Important other and more recent results in this direction are e.g. [16], [10] and [15]. See also the striking work [3] in the Hilbert space setting, where the authors use solutions of infinite-dimensional Kolmogorov equations to obtain unique strong solutions of stochastic evolution equations with bounded and measurable drift for a.e. initial values.

In this article we want to employ a construction principle for strong solutions developed in [25]. This method which relies on a compactness criterion from Malliavin Calculus for square integrable functionals of the Brownian motion [2] is in diametrical opposition to the Yamada-Watanabe principle (1.2) in the sense that

$$\boxed{\text{Strong existence}} \quad + \quad \boxed{\text{Uniqueness in law}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \boxed{\text{Strong uniqueness}},$$

that is the existence of a strong solution to (1.1) and uniqueness in law of solutions imply the existence of a unique strong solution. A crucial consequence of this approach is the additional insight that the constructed solutions are regular in the sense of Malliavin differentiability.

We mention that this method has been recently applied in a series of other papers. See e.g. [22], where the authors obtain Malliavin differentiable solutions when the drift coefficient in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded and measurable. Other applications pertain to the stochastic transport equation with singular coefficients [26], [27] or stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces with bounded Hölder-continuous drift [6]. See also [11] in the case of truncated α-stable processes as driving noise and [1] in the case of fractional Brownian motion for Hurst parameter $H < 1/2$, which is a non-Markovian driving noise.

Using the above mentioned new approach, one of the objectives of this paper is to construct Malliavin differentiable unique strong solutions to (1.1) under the integrability condition

$$b \in L^q([0,T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)) \tag{1.3}$$

for $p \geq 2$, $q > 2$ such that

$$\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1.$$

The idea for the proof rests on a mixture of techniques in [22] and [9]. More precisely, we approximate in the first step the drift coefficient b by smooth functions b_n with compact support and apply the Itô-Tanaka-Zvonkin "trick" by transforming the solutions $X_t^{n,x}$ of (1.1) associated with the coefficients b_n to processes

$$Y_t^{n,x} := X_t^{n,x} + U_n(t, X_t^{n,x}),$$

where the processes $Y_t^{n,x}$ satisfy an equation with more regular coefficients than (1.1) given by

$$dY_t^{n,x} = \lambda U_n(t, X_t^{n,x})dt + (\mathcal{I}_d + \nabla U_n(t, X_t^{n,x}))\, dB_t$$

for solutions U_n to the backward PDE's

$$\frac{\partial U_n}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta U_n + b_n \nabla U_n = \lambda U_n - b_n, \quad U_n(T,x) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

In the second step we use the compactness criterion for $L^2(\Omega)$ in [2] applied to the sequence $Y_t^{n,x}$, $n \geq 1$ in connection with Schauder-type of estimates of solutions of (1.4) and techniques from white noise analysis to show that

$$Y_t^{n,x} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} Y_t^x$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$ for all t and that

$$X_t^x = \varphi(t, Y_t^x),$$

where $\varphi(t,\cdot)$ is the inverse of the function $x \mapsto x + U(t,x)$ for all t and U a solution of (1.4), is a Malliavin differentiable unique strong solution of (1.1).

Our paper is organised as follows: In Section 2 we present our main results on the construction of strong solutions (Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.15). As an application of the results obtained in Section 2 we establish in Section 3 a Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula for the representation of first order derivatives of solutions of Kolmogorov equations.

2. Main results

In this section, we want to further develop the ideas introduced in [9] and [25] to derive Malliavin differentiable strong solutions of stochastic differential equations with irregular coefficients. More precisely, we aim at analyzing the SDE's of the form

$$dX_t = b(t, X_t)dt + dB_t, \ 0 \leq t \leq 1, \ \ X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{2.1}$$

where the drift coefficient $b : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a Borel measurable function satisfying some integrability condition and B_t is a d-dimensional Brownian motion with respect to the stochastic basis

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu), \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0\le t\le T} \tag{2.2}$$

for the $\mu-$augmented filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0\le t\le T}$ generated by B_t. At the end of this section we shall also apply our technique to equations with more general diffusion coefficients (Theorem 2.15).

Consider the space

$$L_p^q := L^q\left([0,T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)\right)$$

for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following condition

$$p > 2,\ q > 2 \ \text{ and } \ \frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1 \tag{2.3}$$

and denote by $|\cdot|$ the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The Banach space L_p^q is endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L_p^q} = \left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(t,x)|^p dx\right)^{q/p} dt\right)^{1/q} < \infty \tag{2.4}$$

for $f \in L_p^q$.

The main goal of the paper is to show that SDE's of the type (2.1) with drift coefficient b satisfying the integrability condition given in (2.4) admit strong solutions that are unique and in addition, Malliavin differentiable.

So, our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that the drift coefficient $b : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ in (2.1) belongs to L_p^q. Then there exists a unique global strong solution X to equation (2.1) such that X_t is Malliavin differentiable for all $0 \le t \le T$.*

An important step of the proof of Theorem 2.1 is directly based on the study of the regularity of solutions to the following associated PDE to equation SDE (2.1).

$$\partial_t U(t,x) + b(t,x)\cdot \nabla U(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta U(t,x) - \lambda U(t,x) + b = 0, \quad t \in [0,T], \quad U(T,x) = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where $U : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\lambda > 0$ and $b \in L_p^q$.

The following result is due to [8] and stablishes the well-posedness of the above PDE problem in a certain space.

First, recall the definition of the following functional spaces

$$\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,p}^q = L^q([0,T], W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad \mathbb{H}_p^{\beta,q} = W^{\beta,q}([0,T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

and

$$H_{\alpha,p}^q = \mathbb{H}_{\alpha,p}^q \cap \mathbb{H}_p^{1,q}.$$

The norm in $H_{\alpha,p}^q$ can be taken to be

$$\|u\|_{H_{\alpha,p}^q} \equiv \|u\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\alpha,p}^q} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L_p^q}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *Let p, q be such that $p \ge 2$, $q > 2$ and $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. Consider two vector fields $b, \Phi \in L_p^q$. Then there exists a unique solution of the backward parabolic system*

$$\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u + b\cdot\nabla u - \lambda u + \Phi = 0, \quad t \in [0,T], \quad u(T,x) = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

belonging to the space

$$H_{2,p}^q := L^q([0,T], W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap W^{1,q}([0,T], L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

i.e. there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on d, p, q, T, λ and $\|b\|_{L_p^q}$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H_{2,p}^q} \le C\|\Phi\|_{L_p^q}. \tag{2.7}$$

The following result is a part of [16, Lemma 10.2] that gives us some properties on the regularity of $u \in H_{2,p}^q$ that we will need for the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p,q\in(1,\infty)$ such that $\frac{d}{p}+\frac{2}{q}<1$ and $u\in H^q_{2,p}$, then ∇u is Hölder continuous in $(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d$, namely for any $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$ satisfying*

$$\varepsilon+\frac{d}{p}+\frac{2}{q}<1$$

there exists a constant $C>0$ depending only on p,q and ε such that for all $s,t\in[0,T]$ and $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $x\neq y$

$$\|\nabla u(t,x)-\nabla u(s,x)\|\leq C|t-s|^{\varepsilon/2}\|\nabla u\|_{H^q_{2,p}}^{1-1/q-\varepsilon/2}\|\partial_t u\|_{L^q_p}^{1/q+\varepsilon/2}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|\nabla u(t,x)\|+\frac{\|\nabla u(t,x)-\nabla u(t,y)\|}{|x-y|^{\varepsilon}}\leq CT^{-1/q}\left(\|u\|_{H^q_{2,p}}+T\|\partial_t u\|_{L^q_p}\right), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes any norm in $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$

Our method to construct strong solutions is actually motivated by the following observation in [19] and [23] (see also [24]).

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that the drift coefficient $b:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^d$ in (2.1) is bounded and Lipschitz continuous. Then the unique strong solution $X_t=(X_t^1,\dots,X_t^d)$ of (2.1) has the explicit representation*

$$\varphi\left(t,X_t^i(\omega)\right)=E_{\widetilde{\mu}}\left[\varphi\left(t,\widetilde{B}_t^i(\widetilde{\omega})\right)\mathcal{E}_T^{\diamond}(b)\right] \tag{2.10}$$

for all $\varphi:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi\left(t,B_t^i\right)\in L^2(\Omega)$ for all $0\leq t\leq T$, $i=1,\dots,d$,. The random element $\mathcal{E}_T^{\diamond}(b)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}_T^{\diamond}(b)(\omega,\widetilde{\omega}):=\exp^{\diamond}\Big(&\sum_{j=1}^{d}\int_0^T\left(W_s^j(\omega)+b^j(s,\widetilde{B}_s(\widetilde{\omega}))\right)d\widetilde{B}_s^j(\widetilde{\omega})\\&-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\left(W_s^j(\omega)+b^j(s,\widetilde{B}_s(\widetilde{\omega}))\right)^{\diamond 2}ds\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Here $\left(\widetilde{\Omega},\widetilde{\mathcal{F}},\widetilde{\mu}\right),\left(\widetilde{B}_t\right)_{t\geq0}$ is a copy of the quadruple $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mu),(B_t)_{t\geq0}$ in (2.2). Further $E_{\widetilde{\mu}}$ denotes a Pettis integral of random elements $\Phi:\widetilde{\Omega}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{S})^*$ with respect to the measure $\widetilde{\mu}$. The Wick product $\diamond$ in the Wick exponential of (2.11) (see A.15) is taken with respect to μ and W_t^j is the white noise of B_t^j in the Hida space $(\mathcal{S})^*$ (see (A.12)). The stochastic integrals $\int_0^T\phi(t,\widetilde{\omega})d\widetilde{B}_s^j(\widetilde{\omega})$ in (2.11) are defined for predictable integrands ϕ with values in the conuclear space $(\mathcal{S})^*$. See e.g. [13] *for definitions. The other integral type in (2.11) is to be understood in the sense of Pettis.*

Remark 2.5. *Let $0=t_1^n<t_2^n<\ldots<t_{m_n}^n=T$ be a sequence of partitions of the interval $[0,T]$ with $\max_{i=1}^{m_n-1}\left|t_{i+1}^n-t_i^n\right|\longrightarrow0$. Then the stochastic integral of the white noise W^j can be approximated as follows:*

$$\int_0^T W_s^j(\omega)d\widetilde{B}_s^j(\widetilde{\omega})=\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{m_n}(\widetilde{B}^j_{t^n_{i+1}}(\widetilde{\omega})-\widetilde{B}^j_{t^n_i}(\widetilde{\omega}))W^j_{t^n_i}(\omega)$$

in $L^2(\lambda\times\widetilde{\mu};(\mathcal{S})^*)$. For more information about stochastic integration on conuclear spaces the reader is referred to [13].

In the sequel we shall use the notation $Y_t^{i,b}$ for the expectation on the right hand side of (2.10) for $\varphi(t,x)=x$, that is

$$Y_t^{i,b}:=E_{\widetilde{\mu}}\left[\widetilde{B}_t^{(i)}\mathcal{E}_T^{\diamond}(b)\right]$$

for $i=1,\dots,d$. We set

$$Y_t^b=\left(Y_t^{1,b},\dots,Y_t^{d,b}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

The form of Formula (2.10) in Proposition 2.4 actually gives rise to the conjecture that the expectation on the right hand side of Y_t^b in (2.12) may also define solutions of (2.1) for drift coefficients b lying in L_p^q.

Our method to construct strong solutions to SDE (2.1) which are Malliavin differentiable is essentially based on three steps.

- First, we consider a sequence of compactly supported smooth functions $b_n : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $n \geq 0$ such that $b_0 := b$ and $\sup_{n\geq 0} \|b_n\|_{L_p^q} < \infty$ approximating $b \in L_p^q$ a.e. with respect to the Lebesgue measure and then we prove that the sequence of strong solutions $X_t^n = Y_t^{b_n}$, $n \geq 1$, is relatively compact in $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Corollary 2.9) for every $t \in [0,T]$. The main tool to verify compactness is the bound in Lemma 2.6 in connection with a compactness criterion in terms of Malliavin derivatives obtained in [2] (see Appendix B). This step is one of the main contributions of this paper.
- Secondly, given a merely measurable drift coefficient b in the space L_p^q, we show that Y_t^b, $t \in [0,T]$ is a generalized process in the Hida distribution space and we invoke the S-transform (A.13) to prove that for a given sequence of a.e. approximating, smooth coefficients b_n with compact support such that $\sup_{n\geq 0} \|b_n\|_{L_p^q}$, a subsequence of the corresponding strong solutions $X_t^{n_j} = Y_t^{b_{n_j}}$ fulfils
$$Y_t^{b_{n_j}} \to Y_t^b$$
in $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$ (Lemma 2.12).
- Finally, using a certain transformation property for Y_t^b (Lemma 2.14) we directly show that Y_t^b is a Maaliavin differentiable solution to (2.1).

We turn now to the first step of our procedure. The successful completion of the first step relies on the following essential lemma:

Lemma 2.6. *Let $b_n : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $n \geq 1$ be a sequence of functions in $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (space of infinitely often differentiable functions with compact support) approximating $b \in L_p^q$ a.e. such that $b_0 := b$ and $\sup_{n\geq 0} \|b_n\|_{L_p^q} < \infty$. Denote by $X_t^{n,x}$ the strong solution of SDE (2.1) with drift coefficient b_n for each $n \geq 0$. Then for every $t \in [0,T]$, $0 \leq r' \leq r \leq t$ there exist a $0 < \delta < 1$ and a function $C : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ depending only on p, q, d, δ and T such that*
$$E\left[\|D_{r'}X_t^{n,x} - D_r X_t^{n,x}\|^2\right] \leq C(\|b_n\|_{L^{p,q}})|r'-r|^\delta \tag{2.13}$$
with
$$\sup_{n\geq 1} C(\|b_n\|_{L_p^q}) < \infty.$$
Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes any norm in $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$.

Moreover,
$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \sup_{r\in[0,T]} E\left[\|D_r X_t^{n,x}\|^p\right] < \infty \tag{2.14}$$
for all $p \geq 2$.

Proof. Throughout the proof we will denote by $C_* : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$ any function depending on the parameters $*$. We will also use the symbol $\lesssim$ to denote *less or equal* up to a positive real constant independent of n.

We will prove the above estimates by considering the solution of the associated PDE presented in (2.5) with b_n, $n \geq 0$ in place of b which we denote by U_n, $n \geq 0$ and then using the results introduced at the beginning of this section on the regularity of its solution.

First, let us introduce a new process that will be useful for this purpose. Consider for each $n \geq 0$ and $t \in [0,T]$ the functions $\gamma_{t,n} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ defined as $\gamma_{t,n}(x) = x + U_n(t,x)$. It turns out, see [8, Lemma 3.5], that the functions $\gamma_{t,n}$, $t \in [0,T]$, $n \geq 0$ define a family of C^1-diffeomorphisms on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Furthermore, consider the auxiliary process $\tilde{X}_t^{n,x} := \gamma_{t,n}(X_t^{n,x})$, $t \in [0,T]$, $n \geq 1$. One checks using Itô's formula and (2.5) that $\tilde{X}_t^{n,x}$ satisfies the following SDE
$$d\tilde{X}_t^{n,x} = \lambda U_n(t, \gamma_{t,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_t^{n,x}))dt + \left(\mathcal{I}_d + \nabla U_n(t, \gamma_{t,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_t^{n,x}))\right) dB_t, \quad \tilde{X}_0^{n,x} = x + U_n(0,x) \tag{2.15}$$
which is equivalent to SDE (2.1) if we replace b by b_n, $n \geq 1$. Using the chain rule for Malliavin derivatives (see e.g. [28]) we see that for $0 \leq r \leq t$,
$$D_r \tilde{X}_t^{n,x} = \nabla \gamma_{t,n}(X_t^{n,x}) D_r X_t^{n,x}.$$

Because of Lemma B.4 it suffices to prove the estimates (2.13) and (2.14) for the process $\tilde{X}_t^{n,x}$.

Since b_n are now smooth we have that (2.15) admits a unique strong solution which takes the form

$$\tilde{X}_t^{n,x} = x + U_n(0,x) + \lambda \int_0^t U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}))ds + \int_0^t \left(\mathcal{I}_d + \nabla U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}))\right) dB_s.$$

Then the Malliavin derivative of $\tilde{X}_t^{n,x}$ for $0 \leq r \leq t$, which exists (see e.g. [28]), is

$$\begin{aligned} D_r \tilde{X}_t^{n,x} &= \mathcal{I}_d + \nabla U_n(r, \gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x})) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_r^t \nabla U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) D_r \tilde{X}_s^{n,x} ds \\ &\quad + \int_r^t \nabla^2 U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) D_r \tilde{X}_s^{n,x} dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

Denote for simplicity, $Z_{r,t}^n := D_r \tilde{X}_t^{n,x}$. Then for $r' < r$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{r',t}^n - Z_{r,t}^n &= \nabla U_n(r', \gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x})) - \nabla U_n(r, \gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x})) \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_{r'}^r \nabla U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) Z_{r',s}^n ds \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_r^t \nabla U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) \left(Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n\right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{r'}^r \nabla^2 U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) Z_{r',s}^n dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_r^t \nabla^2 U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) \left(Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n\right) dB_s \\ &= Z_{r',r}^n - Z_{r,r}^n \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_r^t \nabla U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) \left(Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n\right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_r^t \nabla^2 U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) \left(Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n\right) dB_s. \end{aligned}$$

By dint of Lemma B.3 we know that ∇U_n is bounded uniformly in n and Lemma B.2 shows that $\nabla^2 U_n$ belongs, at least, to L_p^q uniformly in n. This implies that the stochastic integral in the expression for $Z_{r',t}^n - Z_{r,t}^n$ is a *true* martingale, which we here denote my M_t^n. As a result, since the initial condition $Z_{r',r}^n - Z_{r,r}^n$ is $\mathcal{F}_r$-measurable for each $n \geq 0$, for a given $\alpha \geq 2$, by Itô's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z_{r',t}^n - Z_{r,t}^n\|^\alpha &\lesssim \|Z_{r',r}^n - Z_{r,r}^n\|^\alpha + \int_r^t \|Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n\|^\alpha ds + M_t^n \\ &\quad + \int_r^t \|Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n\|^{\alpha-2} \mathrm{Tr}\Bigg[\left(\nabla^2 U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) (Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n)\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\nabla^2 U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}) (Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n)\right)^* \Bigg] ds \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where here Tr stands for the trace and $*$ for the transposition of matrices.

We proceed then using the fact that the trace of the matrix appearing in (2.16) can be bounded by a constant $C_{p,d}$ independent of n, times $\|Z_{r',s}^n - Z_{r,s}^n\|^2 \|\nabla^2 U_n(s, \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})) \nabla \gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})\|^2$.

Altogether,

$$\begin{aligned}\|Z^n_{r',t}-Z^n_{r,t}\|^\alpha \lesssim \|Z^n_{r',r}-Z^n_{r,r}\|^\alpha + \int_r^t \|Z^n_{r',s}-Z^n_{r,s}\|^\alpha ds + M^n_t \\ + \int_r^t \|Z^n_{r',s}-Z^n_{r,s}\|^\alpha \|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s))\nabla\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s)\|^2 ds\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Consider thus the process

$$V^n_t := \int_r^t \|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s))\nabla\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s)\|^2 ds. \tag{2.18}$$

The process V^n_t is a continuous non-decreasing and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$-adapted process such that $V^n_r = 0$. Then Lemma B.2 in connection with Theorem 2.2 we have that $\sup_{n\geq 0} E[V^n_t] < \infty$.

Then Itô's formula yields

$$e^{-V^n_t}\|Z^n_{r',t}-Z^n_{r,t}\|^\alpha \lesssim \|Z^n_{r',r}-Z^n_{r,r}\|^\alpha + \int_r^t e^{-V^n_s}\|Z^n_{r',s}-Z^n_{r,s}\|^\alpha ds + \int_r^t e^{-V^n_s} dM_s. \tag{2.19}$$

Then taking expectation

$$E\left[e^{-V^n_t}\|Z^n_{r',t}-Z^n_{r,t}\|^\alpha\right] \lesssim E\left[\|Z^n_{r',r}-Z^n_{r,r}\|^\alpha\right] + \int_r^t E\left[e^{-V^n_s}\|Z^n_{r',s}-Z^n_{r,s}\|^\alpha\right] ds. \tag{2.20}$$

Then Gronwall's inequality gives

$$E\left[e^{-V^n_t}\|Z^n_{r',t}-Z^n_{r,t}\|^\alpha\right] \lesssim E\left[\|Z^n_{r',r}-Z^n_{r,r}\|^\alpha\right]. \tag{2.21}$$

At this point, it is easy to see, following similar steps, that for the process $Z^n_{r,t}$ one has

$$E\left[e^{-V^n_t}\|Z^n_{r,t}\|^\alpha\right] \lesssim E\left[\|Z^n_{r,r}\|^\alpha\right],$$

where $Z^n_{r,r} = \mathcal{I}_d + \nabla U_n(r,\gamma^{-1}_{r,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_r))$. So

$$\sup_{n\geq 0}\sup_{r\in[0,T]} E\left[e^{-V^n_t}\|Z^n_{r,t}\|^\alpha\right] \lesssim 1 + \sup_{n\geq 0}\sup_{r\in[0,T]} E\left[\|\nabla U_n(r,\gamma^{-1}_{r,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_r))\|^\alpha\right] < \infty \tag{2.22}$$

because of Lemma B.3 (ii) for a sufficiently large $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma B.5 give

$$\sup_{n\geq 0}\sup_{r\in[0,T]} E\left[\|Z^n_{r,t}\|^\alpha\right] \leq \sup_{n\geq 0}\sup_{r\in[0,T]} E\left[e^{-2V^n_t}\|Z^n_{r,t}\|^{2\alpha}\right]^{1/2} \sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[e^{2V^n_T}\right]^{1/2} < \infty.$$

We continue to prove the estimate (2.13). Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}Z^n_{r',r}-Z^n_{r,r} &= \nabla U_n(r',\gamma^{-1}_{r',n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_{r'})) - \nabla U_n(r,\gamma^{-1}_{r,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_r)) \\ &+ \lambda\int_{r'}^r \nabla U_n(s,\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s))\nabla\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s) Z_{r',s} ds \\ &+ \int_{r'}^r \nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s))\nabla\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s) Z_{r',s} dB_s.\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Then taking norm and using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}E\left[\|Z^n_{r',r}-Z^n_{r,r}\|^\alpha\right] &\lesssim E\left[\|\nabla U_n(r',\gamma^{-1}_{r',n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_{r'})) - \nabla U_n(r,\gamma^{-1}_{r,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_r))\|^\alpha\right] \\ &+ \lambda^\alpha E\left[\left(\int_{r'}^r \|\nabla U_n(s,\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s))\nabla\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s) Z_{r',s}\| ds\right)^\alpha\right] \\ &+ E\left[\left(\int_{r'}^r \|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s))\nabla\gamma^{-1}_{s,n}(\tilde{X}^{n,x}_s) Z_{r',s}\|^2 ds\right)^{\alpha/2}\right]. \\ &=: i)_n + ii)_n + iii)_n\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

The aim now is to find Hölder bounds in the sense of (2.13) for the expressions appearing in (2.24).

For $i)_n$ we may write

$$\begin{aligned} i)_n &= E\left[\|\nabla U_n(r',\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x})) - \nabla U_n(r,\gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x}))\|^\alpha\right] \\ &\lesssim E\left[\|\nabla U_n(r',\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x})) - \nabla U_n(r,\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x}))\|^\alpha\right] \\ &\quad + E\left[\|\nabla U_n(r,\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x})) - \nabla U_n(r,\gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x}))\|^\alpha\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.3 there exists an $\varepsilon \in (0,1/\alpha)$ and a constant $C_{p,q,d,\alpha} > 0$ independent of $n \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E&\left[\|\nabla U_n(r',\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x})) - \nabla U_n(r,\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x}))\|^\alpha\right] \\ &\leq C_{p,q,d,\alpha}\left(|r'-r|^{\varepsilon/2}\|\nabla U_n\|_{H_{2,p}^q}^{1-1/q-\varepsilon/2}\|\partial_t U_n\|_{L_p^q}^{1/q+\varepsilon/2}\right)^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E&\left[\|\nabla U_n(r,\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x})) - \nabla U_n(r,\gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x}))\|^\alpha\right] \\ &\leq C_{p,q,d,\alpha}T^{-\alpha/q}E\left[|\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x}) - \gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x})|^{\alpha\varepsilon}\right]\left(\|U_n\|_{H_{2,p}^q} + T\|\partial_t U_n\|_{L_p^q}\right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The above bounds in connection with inequality (2.7) in Theorem 2.2 give

$$i)_n \leq C_{p,q,d,\alpha,T}(\|b_n\|_{L_p^q})\left(|r'-r|^{\alpha\varepsilon/2} + E\left[|\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x}) - \gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x})|^{\alpha\varepsilon}\right]\right)$$

for some continuous function $C_{p,q,d,\alpha,T}(\cdot)$ and hence

$$\sup_{n\geq 0} C_{p,q,d,\alpha,T}(\|b_n\|_{L_p^q}) < \infty.$$

Moreover, using Girsanov's theorem, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} E&\left[|\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x}) - \gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x})|\right] = E\left[|X_{r'}^{n,x} - X_r^{n,x}|\right] \\ &\lesssim E\left[\left|\int_{r'}^r b_n(s,x+B_s)ds\right|\mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^T b_n(u,x+B_u)dB_u\right)\right] + E\left[|B_{r'} - B_r|\right] \\ &\lesssim |r'-r|^{1/2}E\left[\int_{r'}^r |b_n(s,x+B_s)|^2 ds\right]^{1/2} + |r'-r|^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim |r'-r|^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

, where we used, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and both that

$$\sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[\mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^T b_n(u,x+B_u)dB_u\right)^2\right] < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[\int_{r'}^r |b_n(s,x+B_s)|^2 ds\right]^{1/2} < \infty,$$

see [16, Lemma 3.2] or Lemma B.1.

By Jensen's inequality for concave functions and the previous estimate we have

$$E\left[|\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x}) - \gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x})|^{\alpha\varepsilon}\right] \leq E\left[|\gamma_{r',n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_{r'}^{n,x}) - \gamma_{r,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_r^{n,x})|\right]^{\alpha\varepsilon} \lesssim |r'-r|^{\alpha\varepsilon/2}.$$

Altogether,

$$i)_n \leq C_{p,q,d,\alpha,T}(\|b_n\|_{L_p^q})|r'-r|^\delta$$

for a $\delta \in (0,1)$.

For the second term, $ii)_n$, we use Hölder's inequality, Lemma B.3 (ii) for a sufficiently large $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, Lemma B.4 and the estimate (2.14) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ii)_n &\lesssim \lambda^\alpha |r'-r|^{\alpha-1} \left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]} E\left[\|\nabla U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x}))\nabla\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^{n,x})\|^{2\alpha}\right] ds \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{r'}^{r} E\left[\|Z_{r',s}^n\|^{2\alpha}\right] ds \right)^{1/2} \\ &\le C_{p,q,d,\alpha,T} |r'-r|^\delta \end{aligned}$$

for a $\delta \in (0,1)$.

Finally, for the third term, for $\alpha \ge 2$, we use Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$iii)_n \lesssim |r'-r|^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} E\left[\int_{r'}^{r} \|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^\alpha \|\nabla\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^\alpha \|Z_{r',s}^n\|^\alpha ds \right].$$

Then choose $\alpha = 2(1+\delta)$ with $\delta \in (0,1/4)$ and use Lemma B.4 to get

$$iii)_n \lesssim |r'-r|^\delta E\left[\int_{r'}^{r} \|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^{2(1+\delta)} \|Z_{r',s}^n\|^{2(1+\delta)} ds \right].$$

Then Fubini's theorem, Hölder's inequality once more with respect to $\mu(d\omega)$, with exponent $1+\delta'$, $\delta' \in (0,1/4)$ and Cauchy-Schwarz yield

$$\begin{aligned} E\Bigg[\int_{r'}^{r} \|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^{2(1+\delta)} \|Z_{r',s}^n\|^{2(1+\delta)} ds \Bigg] &= \int_{r'}^{r} E\left[\|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^{2(1+\delta)} \|Z_{r',s}^n\|^{2(1+\delta)} \right] ds \\ &\lesssim \int_{r'}^{r} E\left[\|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^{2(1+\delta)(1+\delta')} \right]^{1/(1+\delta')} E\left[\|Z_{r',s}^n\|^{2(1+\delta)\frac{1+\delta'}{\delta'}} \right]^{\frac{\delta'}{1+\delta'}} ds \\ &\lesssim \sup_{n\ge 0} \sup_{s\in[r',r]} E\left[\|Z_{r',s}^n\|^{2(1+\delta)\frac{1+\delta'}{\delta'}} \right]^{\frac{\delta'}{1+\delta'}} \int_{r'}^{r} E\left[\|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^{2(1+\delta)(1+\delta')} \right]^{1/(1+\delta')} ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^T E\left[\|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^{2(1+\delta)(1+\delta')} \right]^{1/(1+\delta')} ds \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from (2.14). For the last factor, since $0 < 1/(1+\delta') < 1$, using the inverse Jensen's inequality and the fact that $1 < (1+\delta)(1+\delta') < 2$ for suitable $\delta, \delta' \in (0,1/4)$ in connection with Lemma B.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^T E\Bigg[\|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^{2(1+\delta)(1+\delta')} \Bigg]^{1/(1+\delta')} ds \\ &\qquad \le T^{1-1/(1+\delta')} \left(E\left[\int_0^T \|\nabla^2 U_n(s,\gamma_{s,n}^{-1}(\tilde{X}_s^n))\|^{2(1+\delta)(1+\delta')} ds \right] \right)^{1/(1+\delta')} \le M < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \ge 0$, w.r.t. a constant M.

As a summary, it follows from (2.21) that

$$E\left[e^{-V_t^n} \|Z_{r',t}^n - Z_{r,t}^n\|^{2(1+\delta)} \right] \le C_{p,q,d,\alpha,T}(\|b_n\|_{L_p^q}) |r'-r|^\delta.$$

Then by Hölder's inequality with exponent $1+\delta$, $\delta \in (0,1)$ together with Lemma B.5 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\|Z_{r',t}^n - Z_{r,t}^n\|^2\right] &= E\left[e^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}V_t^n} e^{-\frac{1}{1+\delta}V_t^n} \|Z_{r',t}^n - Z_{r,t}^n\|^2 \right] \\ &\le E\left[e^{\frac{1}{\delta}V_t^n} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}} E\left[e^{-V_t^n} \|Z_{r',t}^n - Z_{r,t}^n\|^{2(1+\delta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}} \\ &\le C_{p,q,d,\alpha,T}(\|b_n\|_{L_p^q}) |r'-r|^{\delta/(1+\delta)} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\sup_{n\ge 0} C_{p,q,d,\alpha,T}(\|b_n\|_{L_p^q}) < \infty.$$

□

Remark 2.7. *The bound given in (2.14) is in fact uniform in* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*. Indeed, by Lemma B.3 item (ii) we have that the bound given in (2.22) is also uniform in* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$*. Moreover, since* $\Delta U_n \in L_p^q$ *for all* $n \geq 0$*, then by Lemma B.3 item (iii) in connection with Lemma B.1 we have that for any* $k \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{n\geq 0} E[e^{kV_T^n}] < \infty.$$

Hence, for any $\alpha \geq 1$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{r\in[0,T]}\sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[\|D_r X_t^{n,x}\|^\alpha\right] < \infty.$$

Remark 2.8. *One also checks that the same holds for the spatial derivatives, that is for any* $\alpha \geq 1$

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\sup_{r\in[0,T]}\sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_t^{n,x}\|^\alpha\right] < \infty$$

by using the fact that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_t^{n,x}$ *solves the same SDE as* $D_r X_t^{n,x}$*, starting at* $r = 0$*.*

As a repercussion of Lemma 2.6 we have the following result which is central in the proof of the existence of strong solutions of (2.1).

Corollary 2.9. *Let* $\{b_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ *be a sequence of compactly supported smooth functions approximating* b *in* L_p^q*. Denote, as before,* $X_t^{x,n}$ *the solution to equation (2.1) with drift coefficient* b_n*. Then for each* $t \in [0,T]$ *the sequence of random variables* $X_t^{n,x}$*,* $n \geq 0$ *is relatively compact in* $L^2(\Omega)$*.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the compactness criterion that can be found in Appendix C, Lemma C.1 and C.2, which is due to [2], together with Lemma 2.6. One can check that the double integral in Lemma C.2 is finite. Namely

$$\int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{E\left[\|Z_{r',t}^n - Z_{r,t}\|^2\right]}{|r'-r|^{1+2\beta}} dr' dr \leq \int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{1}{|r'-r|^{2\beta+1-\delta}} dr' dr < \infty$$

for any $0 < \delta < 1$ and $2\beta + 1 - \delta < 1$. □

The following lemma gives a criterion under which the process Y_t^b belongs to the Hida distribution space.

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose that*

$$E_\mu\left[\exp\left(36\int_0^T |b(s,B_s)|^2\, ds\right)\right] < \infty, \tag{2.25}$$

where the drift $b : [0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ *is measurable (in particular,* (2.25) *is valid for* $b \in L_p^q$ *because of Lemma (B.1)). Then the coordinates of the process* Y_t^b*, defined in (2.12), that is*

$$Y_t^{i,b} = E_{\widetilde{\mu}}\left[\widetilde{B}_t^{(i)}\mathcal{E}_T^\diamond(b)\right], \tag{2.26}$$

are elements of the Hida distribution space.

Proof. See [25] for a similar proof. □

Lemma 2.11. *Let* $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ *and define* $p_\varepsilon := 1+\varepsilon$ *and* $q_\varepsilon := \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$*. Let* $b_n : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ *be a sequence of Borel measurable functions with* $b_0 = b$ *such that*

$$\sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[\exp\left(16 q_\varepsilon(8q_\varepsilon - 1)\int_0^T |b_n(s,B_s)|^2\, ds\right)\right] < \infty \tag{2.27}$$

holds. Then

$$\left|S(Y_t^{i,b_n} - Y_t^{i,b})(\phi)\right| \leq const \cdot E[J_n]^{\frac{1}{p_\varepsilon}} \cdot \exp\left(2(8q_\varepsilon - 1)\int_0^T |\phi(s)|^2\, ds\right)$$

for all $\phi \in (S_{\mathbb{C}}([0,1]))^d$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, *where* S *denotes the* S*-transform (see Section A.1 in Appendix A) and where the factor* J_n *is defined by*

$$J_n = \sum_{j=1}^{d} 2 \left| \int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^2 ds \right|^{\frac{p_\varepsilon}{2}} + \left| \int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2) ds \right|^{p_\varepsilon}. \tag{2.28}$$

Here $S_{\mathbb{C}}([0,1])$ *is the complexification of the Schwarz space* $S([0,1])$ *on* $[0,1]$, *see Section A.1 in Appendix A.*

In particular, if b_n *approximates* b *in the following sense*

$$E[J_n] \to 0 \tag{2.29}$$

as $n \to \infty$, *it follows that*

$$Y_t^{b_n} \to Y_t^b \text{ in } (\mathcal{S})^*$$

as $n \to \infty$ *for all* $0 \le t \le 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$.

Proof. For $i = 1, \ldots, d$ we obtain by Proposition 2.4 and (A.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} |S(Y_t^{i,b_n} - Y_t^{i,b})(\phi)| \le E_{\tilde{\mu}} \Bigg[|\tilde{B}_t^{(i)}| \exp\Bigg\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[&\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + \phi^{(j)}(s)) d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + \phi^{(j)}(s))^2 ds \Bigg] \Bigg\} \\ &\times \Bigg| \exp\Bigg\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})) d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2) ds \\ &+ \int_0^T \phi^{(j)}(s)(b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})) ds \Bigg\} - 1 \Bigg| \Bigg]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\exp\{z\} - 1| \le |z| \exp\{|z|\}$ it follows from Hölder's inequality with exponents $p_\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon$ and $q_\varepsilon = \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$, for an appropriate $\varepsilon > 0$, that

$$\begin{aligned} |S(Y_t^{i,b_n} - Y_t^{i,b})(\phi)| \le E_{\tilde{\mu}} \left[|Q_n|^{p_\varepsilon} \right]^{\frac{1}{p_\varepsilon}} E_{\tilde{\mu}} \Bigg[\Bigg(|\tilde{B}_t^{(i)}| \exp\Bigg\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[&\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + \phi^{(j)}(s)) d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + \phi^{(j)}(s))^2 ds \Bigg] \Bigg\} \Bigg)^{q_\varepsilon} &\exp\left\{ q_\varepsilon |Q_n| \right\} \Bigg]^{\frac{1}{q_\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})) d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2) ds \\ + \int_0^T \phi^{(j)}(s)(b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality on the last integral and the fact that $|x| \leq e^x$ and $1 \leq e^x$ for $x \geq 0$ we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[|Q_n|^{p_\varepsilon}\right] \leq C \exp\left\{\left(\int_0^T |\phi(s)|^2 ds\right)^{p_\varepsilon/2}\right\} E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\sum_{j=1}^d \left|\int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}\right|^{p_\varepsilon} \\
+ \left|\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2)ds\right|^{p_\varepsilon} \\
+ \left|\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^2 ds\right|^{\frac{p_\varepsilon}{2}}\Bigg] \\
= C \exp\left\{\left(\int_0^T |\phi(s)|^2 ds\right)^{p_\varepsilon/2}\right\} E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\sum_{j=1}^d 2\left|\int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^2 ds\right|^{\frac{p_\varepsilon}{2}} \\
+ \left|\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2)ds\right|^{p_\varepsilon}\Bigg],
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality for the stochastic integral. Then

$$E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[|Q_n|^{p_\varepsilon}\right]^{\frac{1}{p_\varepsilon}} \leq C \exp\left\{\frac{1}{p_\varepsilon}\left(\int_0^T |\phi(s)|^2 ds\right)^{p_\varepsilon/2}\right\} E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[J_n\right]^{\frac{1}{p_\varepsilon}},$$

where

$$J_n = \sum_{j=1}^d 2\left|\int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^2 ds\right|^{\frac{p_\varepsilon}{2}} + \left|\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2)ds\right|^{p_\varepsilon}.$$

Further we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\Bigg(|\tilde{B}_t^{(i)}| \exp\Bigg\{\sum_{j=1}^d \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + \phi^{(j)}(s))d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} \\
- \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + \phi^{(j)}(s))^2 ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}\Bigg)^{q_\varepsilon} \exp\left\{q_\varepsilon |Q_n|\right\}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{q_\varepsilon}} \\
\leq E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\Bigg(|\tilde{B}_t^{(i)}| \exp\Bigg\{\sum_{j=1}^d \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + \phi^{(j)}(s))d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} \\
- \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s, \tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + \phi^{(j)}(s))^2 ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}\Bigg)^{2q_\varepsilon}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}} E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[\exp\left\{2q_\varepsilon |Q_n|\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ one has $\exp\{|z|\} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\exp\{2\mathrm{Re}\ z\} + \exp\{-2\mathrm{Re}\ z\} + \exp\{2\mathrm{Im}\ z\} + \exp\{-2\mathrm{Im}\ z\}\right)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[\exp\left\{2q_\varepsilon |Q_n|\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{2q_\varepsilon}}\Bigg(E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[\exp\left\{4q_\varepsilon \mathrm{Re}\ Q_n\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}} + E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[\exp\left\{-4q_\varepsilon \mathrm{Re}\ Q_n\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}} \\
+ E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[\exp\left\{4q_\varepsilon \mathrm{Im}\ Q_n\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}} + E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[\exp\left\{-4q_\varepsilon \mathrm{Im}\ Q_n\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}}\Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the supermartingale property of Doléans-Dade exponentials we get

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\exp\{4q_\varepsilon \mathrm{Re}\ Q_n\}\Bigg] &\leq E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\exp\Bigg\{\sum_{j=1}^d 32q_\varepsilon^2\int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})-b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^2 ds \\
&\quad + 4q_\varepsilon\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2)ds \\
&\quad + 8q_\varepsilon\int_0^T \mathrm{Re}\ \phi^{(j)}(s)(b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})-b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq L_n \exp\left\{2q_\varepsilon\int_0^T |\phi(s)|^2 ds\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the fact that $\langle f,g\rangle \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|f\|^2+\|g\|^2)$, $f,g\in L^2([0,T])$ and where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_n = E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\exp\Bigg\{\sum_{j=1}^d 4q_\varepsilon(8q_\varepsilon+1)\int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})-b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^2 ds \\
+ 4q_\varepsilon\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2)ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one also obtains

$$E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\exp\{-4q_\varepsilon \mathrm{Re}\ Q_n\}\Bigg] \leq L_n \exp\left\{2q_\varepsilon\int_0^T |\phi(s)|^2 ds\right\}.$$

In the same way, one also obtains the same bounds for $E_{\tilde{\mu}}[\exp\{4q_\varepsilon \mathrm{Im}\ Q_n\}]$ and $E_{\tilde{\mu}}[\exp\{-4q_\varepsilon \mathrm{Im}\ Q_n\}]$.

Finally, for the remaining factor we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\Bigg(|\tilde{B}_t^{(i)}|\exp\Bigg\{\sum_{j=1}^d \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})+\phi^{(j)}(s))d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})+\phi^{(j)}(s))^2 ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}\Bigg)^{2q_\varepsilon}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}} \\
&\leq E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[|\tilde{B}_t^{(i)}|^{4q_\varepsilon}\right]^{\frac{1}{4q_\varepsilon}} E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\exp\Bigg\{4q_\varepsilon\sum_{j=1}^d \mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})+\phi^{(j)}(s))d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})+\phi^{(j)}(s))^2 ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{4q_\varepsilon}} \\
&\leq E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[|\tilde{B}_t^{(i)}|^{4q_\varepsilon}\right]^{\frac{1}{4q_\varepsilon}} E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\exp\Bigg\{\sum_{j=1}^d 4q_\varepsilon(8q_\varepsilon-1)\int_0^T \mathrm{Re}\ (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})+\phi^{(j)}(s))^2 ds\Bigg\}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{4q_\varepsilon}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\mathrm{Re}\ (z^2)\leq(\mathrm{Re}\ z)^2$, $z\in\mathbb{C}$ we have that $\mathrm{Re}\ (b+\phi)^2\leq(b+\mathrm{Re}\ \phi)^2$ then using Minkowski's inequality, i.e. $\|f+g\|_p^p\leq 2^{p-1}(\|f\|_p^p+\|g\|_p^p)$ for any $p\geq 1$ and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality w.r.t. $\tilde{\mu}$ one finally obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E_{\tilde{\mu}}\Bigg[\Bigg(|\tilde{B}_t^{(i)}|\exp\Bigg\{\sum_{j=1}^{d}\mathrm{Re}\Bigg[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})+\phi^{(j)}(s))d\tilde{B}_s^{(j)} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})+\phi^{(j)}(s))^2 ds\Bigg]\Bigg\}\Bigg)^{2q_\varepsilon}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2q_\varepsilon}} \\
&\quad \leq C E_{\tilde{\mu}}\left[\exp\left\{16q_\varepsilon(8q_\varepsilon-1)\int_0^T |b(s,\tilde{B}_s)|^2 ds\right\}\right]^{\frac{1}{8q_\varepsilon}} \exp\left\{2(8q_\varepsilon-1)\int_0^T|\phi(s)|^2 ds\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Altogether, we obtain

$$
\left|S(Y_t^{i,b_n}-Y_t^{i,b})(\phi)\right| \leq const\cdot E[J_n]^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}}\cdot\exp\left\{2\left(8\frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}-1\right)\int_0^T|\phi(s)|^2ds\right\}.
$$

□

Lemma 2.12. *Let $b_n:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^d$ be a sequence of smooth functions with compact support with $b_0:=b$ which approximate the coefficient $b:[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}^d$ in L_p^q. Then for any $0\leq t\leq T$ there exists a subsequence of the corresponding strong solutions $X_{n_j,t}=Y_t^{b_{n_j}}$, $j=1,2...$, such that*

$$
Y_t^{b_{n_j}}\longrightarrow Y_t^b
$$

for $j\to\infty$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. In particular this implies $Y_t^b\in L^2(\Omega)$, $0\leq t\leq T$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.9 we know that there exists a subsequence $Y_t^{b_{n_j}}$, $j\geq 1$, converging in $L^2(\Omega)$. Further, we need to show that $E[J_{n_j}]\to 0$ as $j\to\infty$ with J_{n_j} as in (2.28). To this end, observe that for a function $f\in L_p^q$ one has

$$
E\left[\int_0^T f(s,\tilde{B}_s)ds\right]=\int_0^T (2\pi s)^{-d/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(s,z)e^{-|z|^2/(2s)}dzds.
$$

Then by using Hölder's inequality with respect to z and then to s we see that for any $p',q'\in[1,\infty]$ satisfying

$$
\frac{d}{p'}+\frac{2}{q'}<2,
$$

we have

$$
E\left[\int_0^T f(s,\tilde{B}_s)ds\right]\leq C\|f\|_{L_{p'}^{q'}},
$$

where C is a constant depending on T,d,p',q'. Then from condition (2.3), since $p,q>2$ we can find an $\delta\in[0,1)$ small enough so that $p,q>2(1+\delta)$. For these p,q define $p':=\frac{p}{2(1+\delta)}\geq 1$ and $q:=\frac{q'}{2(1+\delta)}>1$ and apply the above estimate to $|f|^{2(1+\delta)}$ to obtain

$$
E\left[\int_0^T |f(s,\tilde{B}_s)|^{2(1+\delta)}ds\right]\leq C\|f\|_{L_p^q}. \tag{2.30}
$$

Now since $b_n^{(j)}-b^{(j)}\in L_p^q$ for every $j=1,\dots,d$ and $0<\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}<1$ we have

$$
E\left[\left(\int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})-b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^2ds\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}\right]\leq E\left[\int_0^T (b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})-b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^2ds\right]^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}
$$

which goes to zero by the above estimate (2.30) by just taking the case where $\delta=0$.

Finally, for the the second term in $E[J_{n_j}]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left[\left|\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2 - b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)})^2)ds\right|^{1+\varepsilon}\right] \\
&\leq T^{\varepsilon} E\left[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^{1+\varepsilon}(b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^{1+\varepsilon} ds\right] \\
&\leq T^{\varepsilon} \int_0^T E\left[(b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^{2(1+\varepsilon)}\right]^{1/2} E\left[(b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^{2(1+\varepsilon)}\right]^{1/2} ds \\
&\leq T^{\varepsilon} E\left[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^{2(1+\varepsilon)} ds\right]^{1/2} E\left[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^{2(1+\varepsilon)} ds\right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then since $b^{(j)} + b_n(j) \in L_p^q$ for every $n \geq 0$ we have

$$\sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) + b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^{2(1+\varepsilon)} ds\right]^{1/2} < \infty$$

for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ by Lemma B.2 and

$$E\left[\int_0^T (b^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}) - b_n^{(j)}(s,\tilde{B}_s^{(j)}))^{2(1+\varepsilon)} ds\right]^{1/2} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ by estimate (2.30) for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Thus, by Lemma 2.11, $Y_t^{b_{n_j}} \to Y_t^b$ as $j \to \infty$ in $(\mathcal{S})^*$. But then, by uniqueness of the limit, also $Y_t^{b_{n_j}} \to Y_t^b$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. □

Remark 2.13. *It follows from the above proof that $Y_t^{b_n} \to Y_t^b$ as $n \to \infty$ in $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all t and x.*

In fact, Lemma 2.12 enables us now to state the following "transformation property" for Y_t^b.

Lemma 2.14. *Assume that $b : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is in L_p^q. Then*

$$\varphi^{(i)}\left(t, Y_t^b\right) = E_{\widetilde{\mu}}\left[\varphi^{(i)}\left(t, \widetilde{B}_t\right)\mathcal{E}_T^{\diamond}(b)\right] \tag{2.31}$$

a.e. for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$ and $\varphi = (\varphi^{(1)}, \ldots, \varphi^{(d)})$ such that $\varphi(B_t) \in L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. See [31, Lemma 16] or [23]. □

Using the above auxiliary results we can finally give the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We want to use the transformation property (2.31) of Lemma 2.14 to show that Y_t^b is a unique strong solution of the SDE (2.1). To shorten notation we set $\int_0^t \varphi(s,\omega)dB_s := \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \varphi^{(j)}(s,\omega)dB_s^{(j)}$ and $x = 0$. Also, let b_n, $n = 1, 2, ...$, be a sequence of functions as required in Lemma 2.12.

We comment on that $Y_\cdot^b$ has a continuous modification. The latter can be seen as follows: Since each $Y_t^{b_n}$ is a strong solution of the SDE (2.1) with respect to the drift b_n we obtain from Girsanov's theorem and our assumptions that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\mu}\left[\left(Y_t^{i,b_n} - Y_u^{i,b_n}\right)^4\right] &= E_{\widetilde{\mu}}\left[\left(\widetilde{B}_t^{(i)} - \widetilde{B}_u^{(i)}\right)^4 \mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^T b_n(s,\widetilde{B}_s)d\widetilde{B}_s\right)\right] \\
&\leq const \cdot |t-u|^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq u, t \leq T$, $n \geq 1$, $i = 1, ..., d$. The above constant comes from the fact that $\left\{\mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^T b_n(s,\widetilde{B}_s)d\widetilde{B}_s\right)\right\}_{n\geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to the measure $\tilde{\mu}$, see Lemma 3.2. in [16] or Lemma B.1.

By Remark 2.13 we know that

$$Y_t^{b_n} \longrightarrow Y_t^b \text{ in } L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$$

and hence we have almost sure convergence for a further subsequence, $0 \le t \le T$. So we get that by Fatou's lemma

$$E_\mu\left[\left(Y_t^{i,b} - Y_u^{i,b}\right)^4\right] \le const \cdot |t-u|^2 \tag{2.32}$$

for all $0 \le u, t \le T$, $i = 1, ..., d$. Then Kolmogorov's lemma guarantees a continuous modification of Y_t^b.

Since $\widetilde{B}_t$ is a weak solution of (2.1) for the drift $b(s,x)+\phi(s)$ with respect to the measure $d\mu^* = \mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^T \left(b(s,\widetilde{B}_s)+\phi(s)\right) d\widetilde{B}_s\right) d\mu$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
S(Y_t^{i,b})(\phi) &= E_{\widetilde{\mu}}\left[\widetilde{B}_t^{(i)}\mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^T \left(b(s,\widetilde{B}_s)+\phi(s)\right) d\widetilde{B}_s\right)\right] \\
&= E_{\mu^*}\left[\widetilde{B}_t^{(i)}\right] \\
&= E_{\mu^*}\left[\int_0^t \left(b^{(i)}(s,\widetilde{B}_s)+\phi^{(i)}(s)\right) ds\right] \\
&= \int_0^t E_{\widetilde{\mu}}\left[b^{(i)}(s,\widetilde{B}_s)\mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^T \left(b(u,\widetilde{B}_u)+\phi(u)\right) d\widetilde{B}_u\right)\right] ds + S\left(B_t^{(i)}\right)(\phi).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the transformation property (2.31) applied to b yields

$$S(Y_t^{i,b})(\phi) = S(\int_0^t b^{(i)}(u, Y_u^{i,b})du)(\phi) + S(B_t^{(i)})(\phi).$$

Then it follows from the injectivity of the S-transform that

$$Y_t^b = \int_0^t b(s, Y_s^b)ds + B_t\,.$$

See Section A in the Appendix.

The Malliavin differentiability of Y_t^b comes from the fact that $Y_t^{i,b_n} \to Y_t^{i,b}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\sup_{n\ge 1} \|Y_t^{i,b_n}\|_{\mathbb{D}^{1,2}} \le M < \infty$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, d$ and $0 \le t \le 1$. See e.g. [28].

On the other hand, using uniqueness in law, which is a consequence of Lemma B.2 and Proposition 3.10, Ch. 5 in [14] we may apply, under our conditions, Girsanov's theorem to any other solution. Then the proof of Proposition 2.4 (see e.g. [30, Proposition 1]) shows that any other solution necessarily coincides with Y_t^b. □

We conclude this section with a generalisation of Theorem 2.1 to a class of non-degenerate $d-$dimensional Itô-diffusions.

Theorem 2.15. *Assume the time-homogeneous $\mathbb{R}^d-$valued SDE*

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dB_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \tag{2.33}$$

where the coefficients $b : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ are Borel measurable. Suppose that there exists a bijection $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, which is twice continuously differentiable. Let $\Lambda_x : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow L\left(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d\right)$ and $\Lambda_{xx} : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow L\left(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d\right)$ be the corresponding derivatives of Λ and assume that

$$\Lambda_x(y)\sigma(y) = id_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{ for } y \text{ a.e.}$$

as well as

$$\Lambda^{-1} \text{ is Lipschitz continuous.}$$

Require that the function $b_* : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ *given by*

$$\begin{aligned} b_*(x) &:= \Lambda_x\left(\Lambda^{-1}(x)\right)\left[b(\Lambda^{-1}(x))\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{xx}\left(\Lambda^{-1}(x)\right)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sigma(\Lambda^{-1}(x))[e_i], \sum_{i=1}^{d}\sigma(\Lambda^{-1}(x))[e_i]\right] \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1, where e_i*,* $i = 1, \ldots, d$*, is a basis of* $\mathbb{R}^d$*. Then there exists a Malliavin differentiable solution* X_t *to (2.33).*

Proof. The proof can be directly obtained from Itô's Lemma. See [25]. □

3. Applications

3.1. **The Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula.** As an application we want to use Theorem 2.1 to derive a Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula for solutions v to the Kolmogorov equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}v(t,x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} b_j(t,x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}v(t,x) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}v(t,x) \tag{3.1}$$

with initial condition $v(0,x) = \Phi(x)$, where $b : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ belongs to L_p^q.

It is known that, see [17] or [5], that when Φ is continuous and bounded there exists a solution to (3.1) given by

$$v(t,x) = E[\Phi(X_t^x)], \tag{3.2}$$

where v is a solution to the Kolmogorov Equation (3.1) which is unique among all bounded solutions in the space $H_{2,p}^q$, as introduced in Theorem 2.2, with $p, q > 2$ satisfying (2.3). Moreover, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v \in L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

In the sequel, we aim at finding a representation for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v$ without using derivatives of Φ. See [22] in the case of $b \in L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 3.1 (Bismut-Elworthy-Li formula)**.** *Assume* $\Phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and let* U *be an open, bounded subset of* $\mathbb{R}^d$*. Then the derivative of the solution to (3.1) can be represented as*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v(t,x) = E[\Phi(X_t^x)\int_0^t a(s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^x\right)^* dB_s]^* \tag{3.3}$$

for almost all $x \in U$ *and all* $t \in (0,T]$*, where* $a = a_t$ *is any bounded measurable function such that* $\int_0^t a_t(s)ds = 1$ *and where* $*$ *denotes the transposition of matrices.*

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 2 in [25] in the case of $b \in L^\infty([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. For the convenience of the reader we give the full proof.

Assume that $\Phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (the general case of $\Phi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ can be proved by approximation of Φ in relation (3.5)) and let b_n and $X_t^{n,x}$ be as in the previous section. If we replace b by b_n in (3.1) we have the unique solution given by

$$v_n(t,x) = E[\Phi(X_t^{n,x})].$$

By using Remark 2.13 we see that $v_n(t,x) \to v(t,x)$ for each t and x.

By [28, Page 109] we have that

$$D_s X_t^{n,x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_t^{n,x},$$

where the above product is the usual matrix product. So it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_t^{n,x} = \int_0^t a(s)D_s X_t^{n,x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}ds. \tag{3.4}$$

Interchanging integration and differentiation in connection with the chain rule we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v_n(t,x) &= E[\Phi'(X_t^{n,x})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_t^{n,x}]\\ &= E[\int_0^t a(s)D_s\Phi(X_t^{n,x})\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}ds]\\ &= E[\Phi(X_t^{n,x})\int_0^t a(s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}\right)^* dB_s]^*,\end{aligned}$$

where we applied the chain rule and the duality formula for the Malliavin derivative to the last equality.

Choose $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U)$. In what follows, we will prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\varphi(x)v(t,x)dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[\Phi(X_t^x)\int_0^t a(s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^x\right)^* dB_s]^*dx. \tag{3.5}$$

In fact, dominated convergence combined with Remark 2.13 gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\varphi(x)v(t,x)dx &= -\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[\Phi(X_t^{n,x})\int_0^t a(s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}\right)^* dB_s]^*dx\\ &= -\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[(\Phi(X_t^{n,x})-\Phi(X_t^x))\int_0^t a(s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}\right)^* dB_s]^*dx\\ &\quad -\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[\Phi(X_t^x)\int_0^t a(s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}\right)^* dB_s]^*dx\\ &= -\lim_{n\to\infty} i)_n - \lim_{n\to\infty} ii)_n.\end{aligned}$$

As for the first term we get

$$i)_n \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\varphi(x)|\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Phi\|_\infty\|X_t^{n,x}-X_t^x\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)}\|a\|_\infty\left(\sup_{k\geq 1, s\in[0,T]}E[\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{k,x}\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}}]\right)^{1/2}dx,$$

which goes to zero as n tends to infinity by Lebesque dominated convergence theorem, Remark 2.13 and Remark 2.8.

For the second term, $ii)_n$ since X_t^x is *Malliavin differentiable* and $\Phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ it follows from the Clark-Ocone formula that (see e.g. [28])

$$\Phi(X_t^x) = E[\Phi(X_t^x)] + \int_0^t E[D_s\Phi(X_t^x)|\mathcal{F}_s]dB_s.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}ii)_n &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[\Phi(X_t^x)\int_0^t a(s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}\right)^* dB_s]^*dx \qquad (3.6)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[\left(E[\Phi(X_t^x)] + \int_0^t E[D_s\Phi(X_t^x)|\mathcal{F}_s]dB_s\right)\int_0^t a(s)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}\right)^* dB_s]^*dx \qquad (3.7)\\ &= \int_0^t a(s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[D_s\Phi(X_t^x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}]dxds. \qquad (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

One checks by means of Lemma 2.6 that $\varphi(\cdot)D_s\Phi(X_t^\cdot) = \varphi(\cdot)\Phi'(X_t^\cdot)D_sX_t^\cdot$ belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d\times\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ so that for each s, the function

$$g_n(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[D_s\Phi(X_t^x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}]dx$$

converges to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[D_s\Phi(X_t^x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^x]dx$ by the weak convergence of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}$ in $L^2([0,T]\times U\times\Omega)$ for a subsequence in virtue of Remark 2.8. Further,

$$\begin{aligned}|g_n(s)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\varphi(x)|\|D_s\Phi(X_t^x)\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)}\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)}dx\\ &\leq \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^d,\, u\leq t,\, k\in\mathbb{N}}\|D_u\Phi(X_t^y)\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)}\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_u^{k,y}\|_{L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\varphi(x)|dx\end{aligned}$$

so that Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ii)_n = \int_0^t a(s)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)E[D_s\Phi(X_t^x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^x]dxds.$$

By reversing equations (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) with $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^x$ in place of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_s^{n,x}$ we obtain the result. □

Appendix A. Framework

In this appendix we collect some facts from Gaussian white noise analysis and Malliavin calculus, which we shall use in Section 2 to construct strong solutions of SDE's. See [12, 29, 18] for more information on white noise theory. As for Malliavin calculus the reader may consult [28, 20, 21, 4].

A.1. **Basic Facts of Gaussian White Noise Theory.**

A crucial step in our proof for the constuction of strong solutions (see Section 3) relies on a generalised stochastic process in the Hida distribution space which is shown to be a SDE solution. Let us first recall the definition of this space which is due to T. Hida (see [12]).

From now on we fix a time horizon $0 < T < \infty$. Let A be a (positive) self-adjoint operator on $L^2([0,T])$ with $Spec(A) > 1$. Require that A^{-r} is of Hilbert-Schmidt type for some $r > 0$ and let $\{e_j\}_{j\geq 0}$ be a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2([0,T])$ in $Dom(A)$ and let $\lambda_j > 0$, $j \geq 0$ be the eigenvalues of A such that

$$1 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq ... \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose that each basis element e_j is a continuous function on $[0,T]$. Further let $O_\lambda, \lambda\in\Gamma$, be an open covering of $[0,T]$ such that

$$\sup_{j\geq 0}\lambda_j^{-\alpha(\lambda)}\sup_{t\in O_\lambda}|e_j(t)| < \infty$$

for $\alpha(\lambda)\geq 0$.

In the sequel let $\mathcal{S}([0,T])$ be the standard countably Hilbertian space constructed from $(L^2([0,T]),A)$. See [29]. Then $\mathcal{S}([0,T])$ is a nuclear subspace of $L^2([0,T])$. The topological dual of $\mathcal{S}([0,T])$ is denoted by $\mathcal{S}'([0,T])$. Then the Bochner-Minlos theorem entails the existence of a unique probability measure π on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}'([0,T]))$ (Borel $\sigma-$algebra of $\mathcal{S}'([0,T])$) such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}'([0,T])} e^{i\langle\omega,\phi\rangle}\pi(d\omega) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\|\phi\|^2_{L^2([0,T])}}$$

for all $\phi\in\mathcal{S}([0,T])$, where $\langle\omega,\phi\rangle$ stands for the action of $\omega\in\mathcal{S}'([0,T])$ on $\phi\in\mathcal{S}([0,T])$. Define

$$\Omega_i = \mathcal{S}'([0,T])\,,\quad \mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S}'([0,T]))\,,\quad \mu_i = \pi\,,$$

for $i = 1,\ldots,d$. Then the product measure

$$\mu = \underset{i=1}{\overset{d}{\times}}\mu_i \tag{A.1}$$

on the measurable space

$$(\Omega,\mathcal{F}) := \left(\prod_{i=1}^d\Omega_i,\ \bigotimes_{i=1}^d\mathcal{F}_i\right) \tag{A.2}$$

is called *d-dimensional white noise probability measure.*

Consider the Doléans-Dade exponential

$$\widetilde{e}(\phi,\omega)=\exp\left(\langle\omega,\phi\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\|\phi\|^2_{L^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)}\right),$$

for $\omega=(\omega_1,\dots,\omega_d)\in(\mathcal{S}'([0,T]))^d$ and $\phi=(\phi^{(1)},\dots,\phi^{(d)})\in(\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d$, where $\langle\omega,\phi\rangle:=\sum_{i=1}^d\langle\omega_i,\phi_i\rangle$.

Now let $\left((\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d\right)^{\widehat{\otimes}n}$ be the $n-$th completed symmetric tensor product of $(\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d$ with itself. One checks that $\widetilde{e}(\phi,\omega)$ is holomorphic in ϕ around zero. Hence, there exist generalised Hermite polynomials $H_n(\omega)\in\left(\left((\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d\right)^{\widehat{\otimes}n}\right)'$ such that

$$\widetilde{e}(\phi,\omega)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1}{n!}\left\langle H_n(\omega),\phi^{\otimes n}\right\rangle \tag{A.3}$$

for ϕ in a certain neighbourhood of zero in $(\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d$. One proves that

$$\left\{\left\langle H_n(\omega),\phi^{(n)}\right\rangle:\phi^{(n)}\in\left((\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d\right)^{\widehat{\otimes}n},\ n\in\mathbb{N}_0\right\} \tag{A.4}$$

is a total set of $L^2(\Omega)$. Further, it can be shown that the generalised Hermite polynomials satisfy the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}'}\left\langle H_n(\omega),\phi^{(n)}\right\rangle\left\langle H_m(\omega),\psi^{(m)}\right\rangle\mu(d\omega)=\delta_{n,m}n!\left(\phi^{(n)},\psi^{(n)}\right)_{L^2([0,T]^n;(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n})} \tag{A.5}$$

for all $n,m\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $\phi^{(n)}\in\left((\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d\right)^{\widehat{\otimes}n}$, $\psi^{(m)}\in\left((\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d\right)^{\widehat{\otimes}m}$ where

$$\delta_{n,m}=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } n=m\\ 0 & \text{else}\end{cases}.$$

Denote by $\widehat{L}^2([0,T]^n;(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n})$ the space of square integrable symmetric functions $f(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ with values in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n}$. Then it follows from relation (A.5) that the mappings

$$\phi^{(n)}\longmapsto\left\langle H_n(\omega),\phi^{(n)}\right\rangle$$

from $\left(\mathcal{S}([0,T])^d\right)^{\widehat{\otimes}n}$ to $L^2(\Omega)$ have unique continuous extensions

$$I_n:\widehat{L}^2([0,T]^n;(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n})\longrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$. These extensions $I_n(\phi^{(n)})$ can be identified as n-fold iterated Itô integrals of $\phi^{(n)}\in\widehat{L}^2([0,T]^n;(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n})$ with respect to a $d-$dimensional Wiener process

$$B_t=\left(B_t^{(1)},\dots,B_t^{(d)}\right) \tag{A.6}$$

on the white noise space

$$(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mu)\ . \tag{A.7}$$

We mention that square integrable functionals of B_t admit a Wiener-Itô chaos representation which can be regarded as an infinite-dimensional Taylor expansion, that is

$$L^2(\Omega)=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}I_n(\widehat{L}^2([0,T]^n;(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n})). \tag{A.8}$$

The definition of the Hida stochastic test function and distribution space is based on the Wiener-Itô chaos decomposition (A.8): Set

$$A^d:=(A,\dots,A)\,. \tag{A.9}$$

Using a second quantisation argument, the Hida stochastic test function space $(\mathcal{S})$ is defined as the space of all $f=\sum_{n\geq 0}\left\langle H_n(\cdot),\phi^{(n)}\right\rangle\in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|f\|^2_{0,p}:=\sum_{n\geq 0}n!\left\|\left((A^d)^{\otimes n}\right)^p\phi^{(n)}\right\|^2_{L^2([0,T]^n;(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n})}<\infty \tag{A.10}$$

for all $p \geq 0$. In fact, the space $(\mathcal{S})$ is a nuclear Fréchet algebra with respect to multiplication of functions and its topology is induced by the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{0,p}$, $p \geq 0$. Further one shows that

$$\widetilde{e}(\phi,\omega) \in (\mathcal{S}) \tag{A.11}$$

for all $\phi \in (\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d$.

On the other hand, the topological dual of $(\mathcal{S})$, denoted by $(\mathcal{S})^*$, is called *Hida stochastic distribution space*. Using these definitions we ontain the Gel'fand triple

$$(\mathcal{S}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{S})^*.$$

It turns out that the *white noise* of the coordinates of the $d-$dimensional Wiener process B_t, that is the time derivatives

$$W_t^i := \frac{d}{dt} B_t^i, \ i = 1, \ldots, d\,, \tag{A.12}$$

belong to $(\mathcal{S})^*$.

We also recall the definition of the S-transform. See [30]. The $S-$transform of a $\Phi \in (\mathcal{S})^*$, denoted by $S(\Phi)$, is defined by the dual pairing

$$S(\Phi)(\phi) = \langle \Phi, \widetilde{e}(\phi,\omega)\rangle \tag{A.13}$$

for $\phi \in (\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}([0,T]))^d$. Here $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}([0,T])$ the complexification of $\mathcal{S}([0,T])$. The $S-$transform is a monomorphism from $(\mathcal{S})^*$ to $\mathbb{C}$. In particular, if

$$S(\Phi) = S(\Psi) \text{ for } \Phi, \Psi \in (\mathcal{S})^*$$

then

$$\Phi = \Psi.$$

As an example one finds that

$$S(W_t^i)(\phi) = \phi^i(t), \ i = 1, ..., d \tag{A.14}$$

for $\phi = (\phi^{(1)}, \ldots, \phi^{(d)}) \in (\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}([0,T]))^d$.

Finally, we recall the concept of the *Wick* or *Wick-Grassmann product*. The Wick product defines a tensor algebra multiplication on the Fock space and is introduced as follows: The Wick product of two distributions $\Phi, \Psi \in (\mathcal{S})^*$, denoted by $\Phi \diamond \Psi$, is the unique element in $(\mathcal{S})^*$ such that

$$S(\Phi \diamond \Psi)(\phi) = S(\Phi)(\phi) S(\Psi)(\phi) \tag{A.15}$$

for all $\phi \in (\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{C}}([0,T]))^d$. As an example, we get

$$\left\langle H_n(\omega), \phi^{(n)} \right\rangle \diamond \left\langle H_m(\omega), \psi^{(m)} \right\rangle = \left\langle H_{n+m}(\omega), \phi^{(n)} \widehat{\otimes} \psi^{(m)} \right\rangle \tag{A.16}$$

for $\phi^{(n)} \in \left((\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d\right)^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ and $\psi^{(m)} \in \left((\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d\right)^{\widehat{\otimes} m}$. The latter in connection with (A.3) implies that

$$\widetilde{e}(\phi,\omega) = \exp^{\diamond}(\langle \omega, \phi \rangle) \tag{A.17}$$

for $\phi \in (\mathcal{S}([0,T]))^d$. Here the Wick exponential $\exp^{\diamond}(X)$ of a $X \in (\mathcal{S})^*$ is defined as

$$\exp^{\diamond}(X) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} X^{\diamond n}, \tag{A.18}$$

where $X^{\diamond n} = X \diamond \ldots \diamond X$, provided that the sum on the right hand side converges in $(\mathcal{S})^*$.

A.2. **Basic elements of Malliavin Calculus.** In this section we pass in review some basic definitions from Malliavin calculus.

For convenience we consider the case $d = 1$. Let $F \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then we know from (A.8) that

$$F = \sum_{n\geq 0} \left\langle H_n(\cdot), \phi^{(n)} \right\rangle \tag{A.19}$$

for unique $\phi^{(n)} \in \widehat{L}^2([0,T]^n)$. Suppose that

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} n n! \left\| \phi^{(n)} \right\|^2_{L^2([0,T]^n)} < \infty \,. \tag{A.20}$$

Then the *Malliavin derivative* D_t of F in the direction of B_t can be defined as

$$D_t F = \sum_{n\geq 1} n \left\langle H_{n-1}(\cdot), \phi^{(n)}(\cdot, t) \right\rangle . \tag{A.21}$$

We denote by $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ the space of all $F \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that (A.20) holds. The Malliavin derivative $D.$ is a linear operator from $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ to $L^2([0,T] \times \Omega)$. We mention that $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ given by

$$\|F\|^2_{1,2} := \|F\|^2_{L^2(\Omega,\mu)} + \|D.F\|^2_{L^2([0,T]\times\Omega,\lambda\times\mu)} \,. \tag{A.22}$$

We get the following chain of continuous inclusions:

$$(\mathcal{S}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{-1,2} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{S})^*, \tag{A.23}$$

where $\mathbb{D}^{-1,2}$ is the dual of $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}$.

Appendix B. Technical results

We give a list if technical results needed for the proofs of Section 2 and 3.

Lemma B.1. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a bounded sequence of functions in L^q_p. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[\exp\left\{ k \int_0^T |f_n(s, x+B_s)|^2 ds \right\} \right] < \infty.$$

In particular, there exists a weak solution to SDE (2.1).

Proof. See [16, Lemma 3.2] □

Lemma B.2. *Let $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ a sequence of elements in $L^{p,q}$ that converges to some $f \in L^{p,q}$. Then there exists $\varepsilon > 1$ such that*

$$\sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[\int_0^T \|f_n(s, \phi^n_s)\|^{2\varepsilon} ds \right] < \infty. \tag{B.1}$$

Here $\phi^n_s : x \mapsto X^{x,n}_t$ denotes the stochastic flow associated to the solution of the SDE (2.1) with drift coefficient $b_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. See [9, Lemma 15]. □

We also need the following crucial lemma, which can be found in [8], Lemma 3.4.

Lemma B.3. *Let U_n be the solution of the PDE (2.6) with $\Phi = b = b_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $X^{x,n}_t$ be the solution of the SDE (2.1) with drift coefficient $b_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the following holds true*

(i) *For each $r > 0$ there exists a function f with $\lim_n f(n) = 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{x\in B_r} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|U_n(t,x) - U(t,x)\| \leq f(n)$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in B_r} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\nabla U_n(t,x) - \nabla U(t,x)\| \leq f(n)$$

(ii) *There exists a* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ *for which* $\sup_{\substack{t\in[0,T]\\ x\in\mathbb{R}^d}} \|\nabla U_n(t,x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

(iii) $\sup_{n\geq 0} \|\Delta U_n(t,x)\|_{L^{p,q}} < \infty$.

(iv) *As a consequence of the boundedness of* U_n *and* ∇U_n *we have*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} E\left[\|\gamma_t^n(x)\|^a\right] \leq C\left(1+|x|^a\right).$$

The following lemma gives a bound for the derivative of the inverse of the family of diffeomorphisms γ_t. See [8], Lemma 3.5 for its proof.

Lemma B.4. *Let* $\gamma_{t,n} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ *be the* C^1*-diffeomorphisms defined as* $\gamma_{t,n}(x) := x + U_n(t,x)$ *for* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *associated to* $X_t^{x,n}$ *the solution of SDE (2.1) with drift coefficient* $b_n \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Then*

$$\sup_{n\geq 0}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\nabla\gamma_{t,n}^{-1}\|_{C(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2.$$

The next result was shown in [7], Corollary 13.

Lemma B.5. *Let* V_t^n *be the process defined in (2.18). Then for every* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\sup_{n\geq 0} E\left[e^{\alpha V_T^n}\right] \leq C.$$

Observe that the same estimate holds for any $t \in [0,T]$ *since* V_t^n *is an increasing process.*

Appendix C.

The following result which is due to [2, Theorem 1] gives a compactness criterion for subsets of $L^2(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^d)$ using Malliavin calculus.

Theorem C.1. *Let* $\{(\Omega,\mathcal{A},P);H\}$ *be a Gaussian probability space, that is* $(\Omega,\mathcal{A},P)$ *is a probability space and* H *a separable closed subspace of Gaussian random variables of* $L^2(\Omega)$, *which generate the* σ*-field* $\mathcal{A}$. *Denote by* $\mathbf{D}$ *the derivative operator acting on elementary smooth random variables in the sense that*

$$\mathbf{D}(f(h_1,\ldots,h_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i f(h_1,\ldots,h_n)h_i,\ h_i \in H, f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Further let $\mathbf{D}_{1,2}$ *be the closure of the family of elementary smooth random variables with respect to the norm*

$$\|F\|_{1,2} := \|F\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\mathbf{D}F\|_{L^2(\Omega;H)}.$$

Assume that C *is a self-adjoint compact operator on* H *with dense image. Then for any* $c > 0$ *the set*

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{G \in \mathbf{D}_{1,2} : \|G\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left\|C^{-1}\mathbf{D}\,G\right\|_{L^2(\Omega;H)} \leq c\right\}$$

is relatively compact in $L^2(\Omega)$.

A useful bound in connection with Theorem C.1, based on fractional Sobolev spaces is the following (see [2]):

Lemma C.2. *Let* $v_s, s \geq 0$ *be the Haar basis of* $L^2([0,T])$. *For any* $0 < \alpha < 1/2$ *define the operator* A_α *on* $L^2([0,T])$ *by*

$$A_\alpha v_s = 2^{k\alpha}v_s,\ \text{if } s = 2^k + j$$

for $k \geq 0, 0 \leq j \leq 2^k$ *and*

$$A_\alpha T = T.$$

Then for all β *with* $\alpha < \beta < (1/2)$, *there exists a constant* c_1 *such that*

$$\|A_\alpha f\| \leq c_1\left\{\|f\|_{L^2([0,T])} + \left(\int_0^T\int_0^T \frac{|f(t)-f(t')|^2}{|t-t'|^{1+2\beta}}dt\,dt'\right)^{1/2}\right\}.$$

A direct consequence of Theorem C.1 and Lemma C.2 is now the following compactness criterion which is essential for the proof of Corollary 2.9.

Corollary C.3. *Let a sequence of $\mathcal{F}_T$-measurable random variables $X_n \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$, $n = 1, 2...$, be such that there exist constants $\alpha > 0$ and $C > 0$ with*

$$\sup_n E[|X_n|^2] \leq C,$$

$$\sup_n E\left[\|D_t X_n - D_{t'} X_n\|^2\right] \leq C|t - t'|^\alpha$$

for $0 \leq t' \leq t \leq T$ and

$$\sup_n \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} E\left[\|D_t X_n\|^2\right] \leq C\,.$$

Then the sequence X_n, $n = 1, 2...$, is relatively compact in $L^2(\Omega)$.

References

[1] D. R. Baños, T. Nilssen, F. Proske, *Pathwise uniqueness and higher order Fréchet differentiability of stochastic flows of fractional Brownian motion driven SDE's with singular drift.* (work in progress)

[2] G. Da Prato, P. Malliavin, D. Nualart, *Compact families of Wiener functionals.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 315, Série **1**, (1992), p1287–1291.

[3] G. Da Prato, F. Flandoli, E. Priola, M. Röckner, *Strong uniqueness for stochastic evolution equations in Hilbert spaces with bounded and measurable drift.* Ann. of Prob. Vol.41(5), pp.3306-3344, (2013)

[4] G. Di Nunno, B. Øksendal, F. Proske, *Malliavin Calculus for Lévy Processes with Applications to Finance.* Springer (2008).

[5] F. Flandoli, *Random PErtubation of PDEs and Fluid Dynamic Models.* École d'Été de Probabilitiés de Saint-Flour XL -2010, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, (2011)

[6] F. Flandoli, T. Nilssen, F. Proske, *Malliavin differentiability and strong solutions for a class of SDE in Hilbert spaces*, `https://www.duo.uio.no/handle/10852/38087?show=full`

[7] E. Fedrizzi and F. Flandoli, *Pathwise uniqueness and continuous dependence for SDE's with nonregular drift*, Stochastics 83 (3), (2011), 241-257.

[8] E. Fedrizzi and F. Flandoli, *Hölder Flow and Differentiability for SDEs with Nonregular Drift*, to appear in Stochastic Analysis and Applications (2012).

[9] E. Fedrizzi and F. Flandoli, *Noise prevents singularities in linear transport equations.* Journal Of Functional Analysis, 264 (6), (2013), 1329–1354.

[10] I. Gyöngy, N. V. Krylov, *Existence of strong solutions for Itô's stochastic equations via approximations.* Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, **105** (1996), 143-158.

[11] S. Haadem, F. Proske, *On the Construction and Malliavin Differentiability of Solutions of Levy Noise driven SDE's with Singular Coefficients.* Journal of Functional Analysis. ISSN 0022-1236. 266(8), s 5321- 5359 . doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2014.02.009

[12] T. Hida, H. H. Kuo, J. Potthoff, L. Streit, *White Noise: An Infinite Dimensional Calculus.* Kluwer Academic, (1993).

[13] G. Kallianpur, J. Xiong, *Stochastic Differential Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces.* IMS Lecture Notes Monograph Series (1995).

[14] I. Karatzas, S. E. Shreve, *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus.* Springer, 2n ed. (1998).

[15] N. V. Krylov, *Some Properties Of Traces For Stochastic And Deterministic Parabolic Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **183** (1), (2001), 1-41.

[16] N.V. Krylov, M. Röckner, *Strong solutions of stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift.* Prob. Theory Rel. Fields **131** (2) (2005), 154-196.

[17] N. V. Krylov, M. Röckner, *Strong solutions to stochastic equations with singular time dependent drift*, Prob. Theory Rel. Fields **131** (2) (2005), 154-196.

[18] H.-H. Kuo, *White Noise Distribution Theory.* Prob. and Soch. Series, Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, (1996).

[19] A. Lanconelli, F. Proske, *On explicit strong solutions of Itô-SDE's and the Donsker delta function of a diffusion.* Infin. Dimen. Anal. Quant. Prob. related Topics, **7** (3) (2004).

[20] P. Malliavin, *Stochastic calculus of variations and hypoelliptic operators.* In: Proc. Inter. Symp. on Stoch. Diff. Equations, Kyoto 1976, Wiley, (1978), 195–263.

[21] P. Malliavin, *Stochastic Analysis.* Springer (1997)

[22] O. Menoukeu-Pamen, T. Meyer-Brandis, T. Nilssen, F. Proske, T. Zhang, *A variational approach to the construction and Malliavin differentiability of strong solutions of SDE's.* Math. Ann. **357**(2) (2013), 761–799.

[23] T. Meyer-Brandis, F. Proske, *On the existence and explicit representability of strong solutions of Lévy noise driven SDE's.* Communications in Mathematical Sciences, **4** (1) (2006).

[24] T. Meyer-Brandis, F. Proske, *Explicit representation of strong solutions of SDE's driven by infinite dimensional Lévy processes.* Journal of Theoretical Probability, to appear(2009).

[25] T. Meyer-Brandis, F. Proske, *Construction of strong solutions of SDE's via Malliavin calculus.* Journal of Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), 3922–3953.

[26] Mohammed, S.E.A., Nilssen, T. Proske, F.: Sobolev differentiable stochastic flows of SDE's with measurable drift and applications. arXiv: 1204.3867 (2012).

[27] T. Nilssen, *One-dimensional SDE's with Discontinuous, Unbounded Drift and Continuously Differentiable Solutions to the Stochastic Transport Equation.* `https://www.duo.uio.no/handle/10852/34443`

[28] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics.* 2nd Ed. Springer (2010).

[29] N. Obata, *White Noise Calculus and Fock Space.* LNM **1577**, Springer (1994).

[30] J. Potthoff, L. Streit, *A characterization of Hida distributions.* Journal of Funct. Anal. **101** (1991) 212–229.

[31] F. Proske, *Stochastic differential equations- some new ideas.* Stochastics, **79** (2007), 563-600.]

[32] A.Y. Veretennikov, *On the strong solutions of stochastic differential equations.* Theory Probab. Appl. **24** (1979), 354–366.

[33] T. Yamada, S. Watanabe, *On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations.* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **II**, 155-167 (1971)

[34] A.K. Zvonkin, *A transformation of the state space of a diffusion process that removes the drift.* Math.USSR (Sbornik) **22** (1974), 129–149.

CMA, Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Moltke Moes vei 35, P.O. Box 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway.
E-mail address: `davidru@math.uio.no`

CMA, Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Moltke Moes vei 35, P.O. Box 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway.
E-mail address: `sindred@math.uio.no`

Department of Mathematics,LMU, Theresienstr. 39, D-80333 Munich, Germany
E-mail address: `Meyer-Brandis@math.lmu.de`

CMA, Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Moltke Moes vei 35, P.O. Box 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway.
E-mail address: `proske@math.uio.no`